Computer Architecture HW #1

- 1.9 a. 50%
 - b. Energy = $\frac{1}{2}$ load \times V². Changing the frequency does not affect energy—only power. So the new energy is $\frac{1}{2}$ load \times ($\frac{1}{2}$ V)², reducing it to about $\frac{1}{4}$ the old energy.

1.10

Power consumption @ most of time: 0.9

(a) Turn off 60%, work load of the other 40% grows to 100%

Power saving: (0.9 - 0.4) / 0.9 = 55.55%

(b) 0.4 * 1 + 0.6 * 20% = 0.52

Power saving: (0.9 - 0.52) / 0.9 = 42.22%

(c) Max power 降為 $0.8^2 \cdot 0.6 = 0.384$ (此時work load應為滿載)

Power saving: (0.9 - 0.384) / 0.9 = 57.3 %

(d) 40% + 30% * 20% + 30% * 0 = 0.46

Power saving: (0.9 - 0.46) / 0.9 = 48.8%

- 1.15 a. old execution time = $0.5 \text{ new} + 0.5 \times 10 \text{ new} = 5.5 \text{ new}$
 - b. In the original code, the unenhanced part is equal in time to the enhanced part sped up by 10, therefore:

$$(1 - x) = x/10$$

$$10 - 10x = x$$

$$10 = 11x$$

$$10/11 = x = 0.91$$

- 1.16 a. 1/(0.8 + 0.20/2) = 1.11
 - b. $1/(0.7 + 0.20/2 + 0.10 \times 3/2) = 1.05$
 - c. fp ops: 0.1/0.95 = 10.5%, cache: 0.15/0.95 = 15.8%
- 1.17 a. 1/(0.6 + 0.4/2) = 1.25
 - b. 1/(0.01 + 0.99/2) = 1.98
 - c. $1/(0.2 + 0.8 \times 0.6 + 0.8 \times 0.4/2) = 1/(.2 + .48 + .16) = 1.19$
 - d. $1/(0.8 + 0.2 \times .01 + 0.2 \times 0.99/2) = 1/(0.8 + 0.002 + 0.099) = 1.11$

1.18 a. 1/(.2 + .8/N)

b. $1/(.2 + 8 \times 0.005 + 0.8/8) = 2.94$

c. $1/(.2 + 3 \times 0.005 + 0.8/8) = 3.17$

d. $1/(.2 + \log N \times 0.005 + 0.8/N)$

e. $d/dN(1/((1-P) + logN \times 0.005 + P/N)) = 0$